

Official Errata for Quantum Measurement and Control

Howard M. Wiseman and G. J. Milburn

(Dated: December 16, 2019)

I. CHAPTER 1

- p. 32, in Ex. 1.25, $\exp(iqP)|x\rangle$ should be $|x - q\rangle$, and $\exp(-ikX)|p\rangle$ should be $|p - k\rangle$
— Masa Hiro Nakano 2010/07/22
- p. 36, Figure 1.2: There is a mistake. A MD measurement of an observable which is BAE need not be projective. A MD weak measurement is an example of this.
- p. 41, Definition of Projective Measurement: The text here is also wrong, as per the preceding erratum.

II. CHAPTER 2

- p. 52, (2.1): Should have a subscript 0 on ρ on the RHS of the arrow.
— Andy Chia 2009/11/27
- p. 53, Exercise 2.1: "...Taylor-series for $e^{iX\hat{G}}\hat{X}_{\text{est}}e^{-iX\hat{G}}$..." should read "...Taylor-series for $e^{iX\hat{G}}$..."
- Andy Chia 2009/12/03
- p. 53, (2.11): Subscript X missing for $\langle(X_{\text{est}} - X)^2\rangle$.
— Andy Chia 2009/12/03
- p. 54, 1st paragraph, 5th line: Subscript X missing for $\langle(X_{\text{est}} - X)^2\rangle$.
— Andy Chia 2009/11/27
- p. 54, 1st paragraph of Sec.2.2, 2nd line: Subscript X missing for $\langle(X_{\text{est}} - X)^2\rangle$.
— Andy Chia 2009/12/03
- p. 55, 1st paragraph, 5th line: "...equal to the observed frequency..." should read "...proportional to the observed frequency...".
— Andy Chia 2009/11/28
- p. 55, (2.19), (2.20): RHSs should be the absolute value of the limits shown.
— Andy Chia 2009/12/11
- p. 80, penultimate paragraph: the statement "and has recently been realized experimentally [CMG07]" is almost certainly false.

III. CHAPTER 3

- p. 100, (3.7): The top limit of the integral should be t not t_1 .
— Shakib Daryanoosh 2013/01/21
- p. 101, (3.11), (3.12): The coupling Hamiltonian \hat{V} in the integrand should be \hat{V}_{SE} .
— Shakib Daryanoosh 2013/01/21
- p. 102, second line: V_S should be \hat{V}_S .
- p. 112, (3.58): The sign preceding $f(t)$ should be +.
— Andy Chia 2012
- p. 129, Fig. 3.2 caption: Should say "diagonalize the stationary state matrix", not "diagonalize the stationary Bloch sphere".

- p. 132, (3.126): The overall sign of the exponent should be +.
— Andy Chia 2012
- p. 132, (3.127): The LHS should be $|C(\alpha, \beta, t)|$.
— Andy Chia 2012
- p. 142, (3.158): The quantum Wiener increments in the exponential should be written with a Roman rather than an italic d, i.e. $d\hat{B}_{z:=-t}$ not $d\hat{B}_{z:=-t}$.
— Andy Chia 2010/03/17
- p. 122, Exercise 3.23: The phrase “except for the special case in which $|s_0| = |s_1|$ ” is unnecessary (it applies to the non-uniqueness of a bi-orthogonal expression in the case of just system and apparatus).
— Andy Chia 2012

IV. CHAPTER 4

- p. 165, (4.98): on the RHS ρ_J should be just ρ .
— Areeya Chantasri 2018/02/19
- p. 166, Sec. 4.5.1: Full stop missing for the last sentence of the paragraph.
— Andy Chia 2010/03/03
- p. 188, (4.219): Quantum Langevin equation should read $d\hat{a}(t) = -\frac{1}{2}\hat{a}(t)dt - \hat{v}(t)dt$.
— Andy Chia 2010/03/03
- p. 152, (4.29): The $i\hat{H}$ term should be outside the sum.
— Joe Hope 2010/03/10

V. CHAPTER 5

- p. 258, last para, 3rd line, “function of the photocurrent” should be “functional of the photocurrent”.
- p. 238, 239, (5.100)–(5.102): Typesetting error for the subscript I.
— Andy Chia 2009/11/29
- p. 220, Fig. 5.1: \hat{b}_3 and \hat{b}_2 should be swapped to match the description in the text on page 221 where \hat{b}_2 is said to be the transmitted field and \hat{b}_3 the reflected field.
— Andy Chia 2010/02/27

VI. CHAPTER 6

- p. 294, “Since $M < 0$, the Kalman filter for the mean is exactly a low-pass filter of the current \mathbf{y} .” should say “If $M < 0$, the Kalman filter for the mean is a type of low-pass filter of the current \mathbf{y} . More generally, when M has some pairs of eigenvalues with non-zero imaginary parts (but still with negative real parts, since it is strictly stable), the Kalman filter is akin to a band-pass filter.”
- p. 307, (6.180): Every term on the RHS should be multiplied by dt
— Andy Chia 2010/01/17
- p. 310, (6.189): Diagonal dots should be replaced by lower dots i.e. $\mathbf{H} = \text{diag}(\eta_1, \dots, \eta_L)$
— Andy Chia 2010/03/14
- p. 315, (6.216): the RHS should be $(\hbar/2)^N / \sqrt{\det[V]}$, the square-root reciprocal of that shown.
— Kiarn Laverick 2018/03/27
- p. 322, (6.251), the second term of RHS should be multiplied by dt .
— Kiarn Laverick 2018/02/27
- p. 322, (6.252): The LHS should be \dot{V}_c .

- p.328, (6.277): \hbar should be $\frac{\hbar}{2}$.
— Kiarn Laverick 2018/02/15
- p.329, Fig. 6.7 caption: the two instances of $\hbar/2$ should be replaced by $\hbar/4$.
— Kiarn Laverick 2018/02/15
- p.334, (6.304): $\hat{q} \cos \theta - \hat{p} \sin \theta$ should be $\hat{q} \cos \theta + \hat{p} \sin \theta$.
- p.335, paragraph before Sec. 6.6.7, as a result of the preceding erratum, $\pi/4$ in the penultimate sentence should be $-\pi/4$, and the immediately following words (in the next sentence) must be significantly changed to: “The fact that the optimal θ is very different from this — closer to $+\pi/4$, in fact — points to”

VII. CHAPTER 7

- p.355, Exercise 7.17: The Hamiltonian should have $I - Z$ instead of $I + Z$ in (7.48).
— Andy Chia 2010/02/21

VIII. REFERENCES

- p.431, [Bel64]: The title should say *Podolsky*, not *Podolsy*.
— Ron Wiseman 2009

IX. APPENDIX A

- p. 410, (A.67): Hat missing for Hamiltonian
— Andy Chia 2010/04/09
- p. 417, (A.119): $e^{-ikx/2}$ should be $e^{ikx/2}$
— Andy Chia 2010/04/16
- p. 417, (A.120): $e^{ikx/2}$ should be $e^{-ikx/2}$
— Andy Chia 2010/04/16
- p. 417, (A.117): Given that earlier \hbar was set equal to unity, its appearance here is unnecessary
— Andy Chia 2010/04/16

X. APPENDIX B

- p. 421, (B.25): The first term under the square root should read $\langle(\Delta\tau)^2\rangle$
— Andy Chia 2010/03/24
- p. 428, (B.66): Small x should be capitalized — $dX(t) = dN(t) (\exp [\chi(X) \frac{\partial}{\partial X}]) X(t)$
— Andy Chia 2010/05/01