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Overview

• The GERA Modelling Framework of GERAM
  (defines the scope of enterprise modelling)

• Enterprise Models
  (of any particular enterprise entity)

• Enterprise Modelling Languages
  (these can be used to create models)
Recall the Components of GERAM

GERA

GEMCs

EEMs

EMLs

EMs

EETs

PEMs

EOSs

EMOs
How is it possible to combine the proposed viewpoints of different proposed modelling frameworks?

• We realise that these frameworks use different criteria to divide / systematise the enterprise descriptions that one might want to create

• None of these systematisations are ‘best’

• We can use the combination of these to strengthen their use individually or in combination

• Other useful systematisations may exist

• However, we intended to develop a systematisation that guarantees completeness (why this is so is discussed later)
GERA modelling framework adopted various modelling viewpoints ...

Original diagram used by the IFIP/IFAC Task Force

To be consistent with today’s terminology see next slide...
Modelling Viewpoints

(terminology changed to comply with ISO 42010 terminology)

GERA modelling framework adopted various modelling viewpoints
GERA Enterprise Modelling Framework

- This framework combines what several other frameworks have to say about enterprise modelling
- The GERA Enterprise Modelling Framework defines the scope of enterprise modelling
• Notice that the side-views of this cube correspond to the modelling frameworks of the contributing architecture frameworks (PERA, CIMOSA)

• The modelling frameworks of the various known architecture frameworks all map to the GERA modelling framework (there exist mappings onto GERA of the Zachman, DoDAF, TOGAF, ARIS, TOGAF and many others)
EMs

Enterprise Models

*represent the particular enterprise*

... these are all those models and descriptions that represent the particular enterprise (entity) across its life-cycle (the same entity is described on different levels of abstraction) and across its life history (the same entity is described in different stages of its life, i.e., models have version history)
The Components of GERAM

- GERA
  - GEMCs
    - EEMs
    - EMLs
    - EETs
    - PEMs
  - EMs
    - EOSs
    - EMOs
• Potentially every area of the GERA modelling framework can be populated with models or descriptions of an enterprise entity, or of its parts

• Often these models exist in the enterprise for the production facility and for the control system / information system, but not the part of the business processes done by humans and not for the entire system of management (decisions)

• Note that the models are / should be produced to satisfy some need, i.e., to answer some question (‘stakeholder concern’), which can be described as the stakeholder’s ‘viewpoint’

Different stakeholders need different levels of detail: therefore we should package these extracts of models into ‘views’ to satisfy the needs of stakeholders (ISO 42010)

• Enterprise Modelling Tools can help deliver / display such customised views
Example

- Views can be packaged into ‘Architecture Descriptions’

- An Architecture Description (AD) is a collection of all views of all stakeholders interested in some aspect(s) of the enterprise

For example, an AD of the Enterprise produced on the Identification and Concept level may be collected into such an architecture description

The result would be called the (architecture description of the) Business Architecture
The modelling framework can be filled with models (generic, partial and particular) for any enterprise entity / entity type.

What modelling language to use for which area is *not* pre-defined by the framework.

The selection of modelling language depends on the given entity, the types of processes in the entity, stakeholder competencies, the purpose of modelling (what questions need to be answered by the model to answer stakeholder concerns) and the availability of modelling tools.
Use of enterprise models

• Support the enterprise engineering process (and in general support decision making about the change)

• Used as an explicit, common reference to support common understanding among groups of people

• Used for design decision making by representing explicit properties of a system or used to calculate / optimise implicit properties of the system (e.g. by simulation or other calculation)

• Model based control: support the execution of business processes (e.g. workflow implementation of some business processes), support decision making during the operation of the enterprise
(Particular) Enterprise Models
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Operational policies, principles, etc.
Viewpoints

Examples for areas described /modelled
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Management policies, principles, etc.
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Operational requirements

- functional requirements,
- performance requirements,
- interface requirements,
- design requirements,
- implementation requirements,
- physical requirements.

Examples for areas described / modelled

Information requirements of operation

Life-cycle phases

Instantiation

Viewpoints
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Instantiation

Examples for areas described/modelled

Sw resource requirements of operation

Life-cycle phases
Examples for areas described / modelled
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Hw resource requirements of operation
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Organisational requirements of operation
Life-cycle phases
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Management requirements (function/information/resourcer/organisation)
Viewpoints

Instantiation

Examples for areas described / modelled

Tasks of Machine tools, controllers etc. (the production / service system architecture)

Life-cycle phases
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Viewpoints
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Examples for areas described /modelled

Tasks of shop floor workers / service delivery personnel (human-organisational architecture of the shop floor / service area)
Life-cycle phases

Viewpoints

Instantiation

Examples for areas described / modelled

Tasks of Control & Communication systems, MIS database, DSS, ERP, etc. (application- and information architecture)
Life-cycle phases

Tasks of Management personnel (human-organisational architecture of the management & control system)

Examples for areas described /modelled

Viewpoints

Instantiation
Examples for areas described /modelled

instructions, role descriptions for all personnel
Life-cycle phases

Viewpoints

Instantiation

Examples for areas described / modelled

Equipment drawings, or order (commissioning) specifications
Examples for areas described / modelled

Application coding / parametric configuration of ERP modules, Database coding (SQL, physical schema design) and/or Application / Database product specifications
Life-cycle phases

Viewpoints

Instantiation

Examples for areas described / modelled

MIS & ctrl software (applications and database deployment & release to operation)
Life-cycle phases

MIS, DB & ctrl hardware installation / deployment

Examples for areas described / modelled

Viewpoints

Instantiation
Personnel training, hiring, assignment to roles
Life-cycle phases

Viewpoints

Examples for areas described /modelled

Instantiation

Release instructions / standard operating procedures for humans
Life-cycle phases

Commissioning and deployment of production equipment

Examples for areas described/modelled
Viewpoints

Examples for areas described / modelled

Instantiation

Configuring production equipment

Life-cycle phases

Configuring production equipment
ISO 15704: GEMCs define the meaning of enterprise modelling languages (EMLs) (define the meaning of ‘modelling constructs’)

[Note: in ISO 42010: EMLs are called Architecture Description Languages (ADLs)]
Generic enterprise modelling concept definitions (the concepts used in enterprise modelling languages)
GEMCs

For end users

- *Glossary and examples*
- *Metaschema*
- *Ontological theories*

For tool developers

The meaning of language constructs may take various forms at different levels of formality

less formal

more formal
In the standards

- The Object Management Group releases / maintains many modelling languages, mainly for modelling IT systems (UML, SysML, ...) and define the semantics using metaschemata using a language called Meta Object Facility (MOF) (ISO/IEC 19508:2014)


- ISO 19440:2007 Enterprise Integration – *Constructs for Enterprise Modelling* developed jointly by CEN/TC 310/WG 1 and ISO TC184 SC5 WG1

- ISO 19440:2007 includes *metaschemata* (expressed as UML Class diagrams) of the required modelling language constructs (Annex C to 19440)

- This particular set of languages were specifically developed to contain constructs for *enterprise modelling for model based control* of manufacturing processes

- Some Architecture Frameworks define their own metaschema (or metamodel) for many constructs promoted or required by the AF (e.g. NATO AF NMM)

- In the following slides we show *one* example (ISO 19440) of such metaschema

- In reality, for *every* modelling language in use there should exist a corresponding semantic definition in form of metamodel and ontological theory! Unfortunately there exist too many competing metaschemata 😞 and too few ontological theories to go with them 😞
ISO 19440:2007

Information aspect
Function-aspect
Resource aspect
Organisational/decisional aspect

ISO 19440:2007
Some other relevant standard documents

1. ISO IS 10303-11:1994 EXPRESS Language (of historical interest, demonstrates that language expressive power must match stakeholder concern, or the language is not adequate for modelling, e.g. simple OO modelling language was insufficiently expressive for the purpose)

2. ISO/IEC 15414 – Open Distributed Processing (ODP) [see OMG]


   PSL is a *formal model of processes in First Order Logic* (process ontology)

4. ISO/IEC 15909 – High-level Petri nets (the archetype of most process modelling languages used by the IT industry)

5. DoDAF has recommendations of languages to be used for each of its many viewpoints

6. A very long list of ‘industry standard’ modelling languages exist but precise semantics is rarely defined ...
EMLs

Enterprise Modelling Languages

provide modelling constructs for
modelling of human role,
processes and technologies

Ideally all areas in the modelling framework would need suitable languages for every type of stakeholder concern – some languages are formal, some are not...

We need to know the ‘usual suspects’
The Components of GERAM
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Available languages

- For each area of the GERA modelling framework one can identify several languages that exist and are in use today in industry!

- GERA modelling framework only identifies such areas

- Depending on the aim of modelling, languages of various expressive power are needed (as determined by the stakeholder concern that need to be responded to by way of creating models, views of which models can be analysed, presented, discussed etc.)
• E.g. ISO DIS 19440 is integrated set of modelling constructs (languages that originated from CIMOSA) for business process modelling, suitable to express models that can be used for model based control (e.g., for manufacturing applications)

• For the ‘downstream’ models (detailed design) a great variety of modelling languages exist, e.g., geometric modelling, simulation languages etc.

• Practically no formal modelling languages exist for the identification and concept level, although a long list of very simple models are in use

*Note that we not only design the processes that can be implemented by a machine (computer or production machinery), but also processes that are performed by humans (and this is often overlooked, or the human is treated as a machine in the process)*
There are many modelling languages...

- The intention of developing PSL and 19440 was that they should be expressed as views of a common ontology / metaschema.

- This would allow *interoperation* of modelling tools (exchange of models between them).
• Functional requirements modelling:
  IDEF0, CIMOSA Requirements constructs,
  UML Use Case diagrams and Activity Diagrams, ...

• Behavioural modelling / Process requirements modelling:
  IDEF3, CIMOSA Requirements constructs, Petri Nets, FirstStep,
  ARIS EPC, PSL, BPMN, Behaviour Trees, UML collaboration or sequence diagrams, ...

• Function/Process design modelling
  BPEL, CIMOSA Requirements constructs, Simulation languages
  (WS-)BPEL workflow language, Programming Languages,...

• Data requirements modelling:
  ER, OOA/D, UML class diagrams, ...

• Data Design modelling:
  SQL, Programming Languages’ data constructs, ...

• Data Implementation modelling
  SQL, XML, ...

*what is the difference?*
Differences...

- **Level of abstraction** ... *Select according to the life cycle phase*

- **Expressive power** .... Select depending on the analysis / design task (i.e., what kind of question can a model answer?)

- **Rigour** ... Is the meaning of modelling constructs well defined?

- **Ease of use** ... do people of various backgrounds understand it in the same way? Is good graphic representation available (usability, readability,...)?

- **Availability of support tool**

- **Extendable** ... can one define new constructs / extend existing ones, is there a ‘metamodelling’ capability?
Some languages

• We shall group languages by the life-cycle phase and then subgroup by viewpoints

E.g., Requirements specification life cycle phase – function / behaviour modelling viewpoints
Requirements specification life-cycle phase – function / behaviour modelling viewpoint

• Functional decomposition

Sometimes this all we need to know: what are the functions in the enterprise? (e.g., can be used to scope the tasks of organisational units, or to describe what functions do certain SW applications support). Example: HL7 Functional Model in Health Care
Function List

1. Overarching (OV)
   OV.1 Overarching Criteria

2. Care Provision (CP)
   CP.1 Manage Clinical History
   CP.2 Render externally-sourced Information
   CP.3 Manage Clinical Documentation
   CP.4 Manage Orders
   CP.5 Manage Results
   CP.6 Manage Medication, Immunization and Treatment Administration
   CP.7 Manage Future Care
   CP.8 Manage Patient Education & Communication
   CP.9 Manage Care Coordination & Reporting

3. Care Provision Support (CPS)
   CPS.1 Record Management
   CPS.2 Support externally-sourced Information
   CPS.3 Support Clinical Documentation
   CPS.4 Support Orders
   CPS.5 Support for Results
   CPS.6 Support Treatment Administration
   CPS.7 Support Future Care
   CPS.8 Support Patient Education & Communication
   CPS.9 Support Care Coordination & Reporting
   CPS.10 Manage User Help

4. Administration Support (AS)
   AS.1 Manage Provider Information
   AS.2 Manage Patient Demographics, Location and Synchronization
   AS.3 Manage Personal Health Record Interaction
   AS.4 Manage Communication
   AS.5 Manage Clinical Workflow Tasking
   AS.6 Manage Resource Availability
   AS.7 Support Encounter/Episode of Care Management
   AS.8 Manage Information Access for Supplemental Use
   AS.9 Manage Administrative Transaction Processing

5. Population Health Support (POP)
   POP.1 Support for Health Maintenance, Preventative Care and Wellness
   POP.2 Support Population-Based Epidemiological Investigation
   POP.3 Support for Notification and Response
   POP.4 Support for Monitoring Response Notifications Regarding a Specific Patient’s Health
   POP.5 Donor Management Support

Example: extract from ISO/HL7 10781 - Electronic Health Record System Functional Model
Requirements specification life-cycle phase – function / behaviour modelling viewpoint

- **Activity Modelling** – IDEF0, Data Flow Diagrams
  - Abstracts from time
  - Expresses functional decomposition
  - Inputs, outputs, controls, mechanisms (resources)
  - I/O relationships

---

- Activity
- 'Concept' (information, event, material, resource)

© P.Bernus, 2002-2016
Requirements specification life-cycle phase – function / behaviour modelling viewpoint

- **Behavioural modelling** – Petri Nets, ... (BPMN, CIMOSA function modelling constructs/FirstStep, IEM, ARIS Event Driven Process Chains, IDEF3, UML collaboration diagram, Behaviour Trees, ...)

  - Define sequences of events (behaviour)
  - Functional decomposition
  - Some of these languages can also express I/O rel’ ships
  - Some additional attributes (language depending)
- Behavioural modelling of functions is often accompanied by state transition diagrams (e.g. IDEF3 / UML have State transition diagrams / Harel State Charts respectively)

E.g.
Process\textsubscript{1} transforms Object\textsubscript{1} from State\textsubscript{1} [precondition] to State\textsubscript{2} [postcondition];
Process\textsubscript{32} needs Object\textsubscript{1} to be in State\textsubscript{2} [precondition] and causes Object\textsubscript{2} to reach State\textsubscript{2} and Object\textsubscript{3} to reach State\textsubscript{1} [postcondition]
Example (somewhat simplistic) Petri net

Simulation languages / tools
Example: ARENA for discrete event simulation

Questions Arena Helps Solve:

- Which alternative is best for my business?
- Is there a better way to perform this operation?
- How realistic is my current schedule?
- What will be the impact of adding/reducing staff?
- Where are the inefficiencies in my operations?
- How do we respond to an emergency/abnormal situation?
Simulation is able to answer questions like...

- Compare process alternatives for performance optimisation
- Compare process costs, throughput, cycle times, equipment utilization and resource availability
- Simulation and testing of process changes
- Calculate impact of uncertainty and variability on system performance
- Visualise the above using 2D / 3D animations
- ...

ARENA is one of the most frequently used modelling tools by industrial engineers (see details at https://www.arenasimulation.com/)
Requirements specification life-cycle phase – information modelling view

- **Information modelling languages**: Entity-relationship modelling (ER, IDEF1X) and object modelling (ORM, UML class diagram, EXPRESS, CIMOSA object view constructs, IEM). Note: ER and OO are *almost identical* here – object modelling is not the same as object oriented design where both the information and behavioural aspects are designed using the same model!

Many notations exist, some more rich then the other

(0,1) : participation/cardinality constraint
Requirements specification life-cycle phase – resource modelling view

• Few languages allow detailed specification of resource requirements and resource capabilities – e.g. CIMOSA resource modelling constructs do (see ISO DIS 19440 for constructs)

However, if using other languages (IDEF0, 3, ARIS EPC, etc.)

– resource requirements can be represented as text annotations to the function/process models

– resource capabilities as text annotations to the resource as an object

• UML Component diagrams + text annotation
Requirements specification life-cycle phase – organisational modelling view

- Depending on the level of detail the organisation needs to be modelled, there are several choices – CIMOSA, ARIS, GRAI-Grids.
- The essence of the organisational view is the definition of responsibilities.
- However, on the requirements level we do not decide on the aggregation and mapping of responsibilities (functions) into roles (that may be played by machines or humans), but we state the requirements that constrain such mapping.
- Organisation is in fact a relation between tasks (functions) and resources and as such is only decided on the architectural design level.
Architectural Design level

- **Function** – IDEF0,3,CIMOSA, IEM, ARIS EPC, Workflows
- **Information** – Relational Schema, XML, Object Schema,
- **Resource** – CIMOSA, IEM, ARIS res. view., Component diagram ...
- **Organisation** – CIMOSA, GRAI-Net, ARIS org view, ... etc

Note: on this level the functional requirements become aggregated and assigned to hw/sw/human resources

*Instead of adding new detail to the function/information*, we develop the **system architecture** (i.e., how the system is made up of its constituents and implements its function/information.

To do this, we develop a more detailed structure of resources (human and machine) and allocate these to implement functions/(store/transfer) information

We must make sure that the required capabilities & capacities of resources match the actual resource capabilities & capacities: **the engineering & financial & HR models used must be able to prove that the above aggregation and mapping is valid**
Example: architectural design level of the organisational viewpoint

- **Human roles** on the architectural design level
  - An organisational chart is a very simple model, mapping human resource to a functional decomposition, but is not suitable for analysing the quality of the mapping.
  - It is better to map roles to a more detailed representation of the function to be able to prove that the mapping is good (see some methods later in the ‘decisional modelling’ seminar).
  - Note also that normally only part of the organisation is defined up-front, some of the organisation is dynamically created / re-created as roles get temporarily created and assigned.

- Similarly, it is necessary to aggregate machine functions into **machine roles**
  - The architectural design principles regarding what is the best way to do this are discussed in the ‘architectural design’ seminar.
  - Component diagram is a way to express the role aggregations and interfaces.
  - Some of the architectural design is dynamically created (but the scope of possible dynamic structures must still be designed, see ‘virtual enterprise challenge’ seminar).
Detailed Design level

- **Function** – IDEF0/3, CIMOSA/ IEM implementation level constructs, BPEL, or other Workflow Modelling Languages, Programming languages
- **Information** Relational Schema, XML, Various programming languages
- **Organisation** – extensions to the existing mapping

On this level more detail is added, enough for deployability / commissioning / purchasing ...

Note: detailed design of software is *coding*.  
(the ‘build’ LC phase corresponds to release to operation)
Summary

- **GERA Modelling Framework**
  (defines the scope of modelling)

- **Enterprise Models**
  Generic concepts (GEMCs) – metaschema / ontology
  Particular models (what they capture)

- **Modelling Languages**
  (overview of the variety available for use – which can also be a source of confusion...)

The end